Introduction to Anthropogeny Lecture 7 ANTH203

Cellular Approaches



Pascal Gagneux

Thursday, November 4, 2021

Robert Hooke's drying of cells in a sliver of cork (oak bark)

Robert Hooke's drying of cells in a sliver of cork (oak bark)

Robert Hooke: naming the "cell"





CELLS : 1665
BARE WALL TOOMS WHERE MONKS LIVE: CELLS

Antonie van Leeuwenhoek

Antonie van Leeuwenhoek



Animalcules, 1670s

Ovists and Spermists

Jacob Rüff, 1554





Nicolaas Hartsoeker, 1695

preformationists, ovists, and spearmints. Lazzaro Spalanzani and frogs in pants

---

Onion skin for scale!



Onion (*Allium cepa*) root cells in different phases of the cell cycle (drawn by E. B. Wilson, 1900)

Robert Hooke's drying of cells in a sliver of cork (oak bark)

Earliest cell culture



Keeping cells alive outside the body. How to keep dying tissue alive.

Early cell culture

Pasteur Institute, India, circa 1910.

Laurent Lucien Gsell, 1887



One stage in the preparation of the rabies vaccine: a rabbit brain on a square of muslin. Pasteur Institute, India, circa 1910.
Wellcome Library, London

Pasteur and rabies vaccination. Illustration showing an anti-rabies vaccination being given at the Pasteur Institute in Paris, France. French chemist and microbiologist Louis Pasteur (1822-1895, standing at right) used rabbits to prepare a rabies virus which was milder and had a shorter incubation period than the wild virus. A person who has been bitten by a rabid animal is inoculated with the vaccine, which rapidly stimulates immunity to the wild strain. The first human patient was successfully treated in 1885. This engraving is based on a 1887 painting by Laurent Lucien Gsell (1860-1944). Titled 'La vaccine de la rage', the original is held at the Institute of Bacteriology at the Louis Pasteur University, Strasbourg, France.

Early polio vaccine experiments in monkey central nervous system



Albert Sabin



Strains of each of the 3 types [of polio virus] which possess this limited virulence for monkeys by the spinal route were found to be completely avirulent when inoculated into the spinal cord of chimpanzees, producing neither paralysis nor lesions.

& brain tissue from human embryos

Semiannual Report, January 1-June 30, 1954. NFIP, Box 7, Folder 16. AS, WCHHP, UC, Ohio.

In 1936, Albert Sabin and Peter Olitsky at the Rockefeller Institute successfully grew poliovirus in a culture of brain tissue from a human embryo. The virus grew quickly, which was promising, but Sabin and Olitsky were concerned about using this as starting material for a vaccine, fearing nervous system damage for vaccine recipients. They tried to grow poliovirus in cultures using tissue that had been taken from other sources, but were unsuccessful.

Jonas Salk and monkey **kidneys** (instead of fetal human brain)





Salk family

Albert Sabin

Hilary Korpowski

efficacy trails in rhesus macaques

in the 1950s as the national effort to develop a polio vaccine required the importation of more than 200,000 rhesus monkeys annually for 6 years (Eudey and Mack 1984). Many of these imported NHPs were caught wild in their natural habitat (NAS 1970)

Dr. L. James Lewis, an employee of Dr. Jonas Salk, injects a rhesus monkey with the polio vaccine. At first, he anesthetized the monkey, shaved his leg and then disinfected the skin. He then injected the vaccine into the muscle tissue. The photo was taken in 1955, four days before the release of the evaluation report on the polio vaccine. Photo: Bettmann/ Corbis

In 1936, Albert Sabin and Peter Olitsky at the Rockefeller Institute successfully grew poliovirus in a culture of brain tissue from a human embryo. The virus grew quickly, which was promising, but Sabin and Olitsky were concerned about using this as starting material for a vaccine, fearing nervous system damage for vaccine recipients. They tried to grow poliovirus in cultures using tissue that had been taken from other sources, but were unsuccessful.

India Rhesus monkeys, used in the USA by the millions

Indian rhesus monkeys (M. mulatta) were imported at a rate of 200,000 per year for at least six years and by the tens of thousands for the next 20 years…until the ban by India in 1978.

Early cell culture: limited growth

Monkeys provided fresh kidneys

1950's primary cultures of monkey kidney cells



Modern tissue culture hood



Mouth pipetting of kidney cell suspension

Long way from primary kidney cell culture to stable cell lines.

Cutter Incident 1955



caused 40 000 cases of polio, leaching 200 children with varying degrees of paralysis and killing 10.

Fitzpatrick M. The Cutter Incident: How America's First Polio Vaccine Led to a Growing Vaccine Crisis. J R Soc Med. 2006;99(3):156.

Years later, in a suit brought against Cutter, the firm was found not negligent in making its vaccine because it had done its best making a new drug that was complicated to produce.
But it was found financially liable for the calamity it had caused during that spring of 1955.

Hayflick Limit: ~ 50 cell doublings….





Figure 2 | **Young and old human diploid cells (strain WI-38).** **a** | Young cells in phase II at population doubling 20. **b** | Old cells in phase III at population doubling 56.

Over 750 million virus vaccine doses have been produced on **WI-38** or similar diploid cell strains. Hayflick established international standards for the production of human biologicals in passaged cells, which are still used today by the biotechnology industry

Robert Hooke's drying of cells in a sliver of cork (oak bark)

1962 – Hayflick entwickelt den ersten menschlichen diploiden Zellstamm WI-38 aus dem Lungengewebe eines drei Monate alten weiblichen Fötus. Diese Zellen werden bis heute in der Herstellung von Impfstoffen eingesetzt [10].
https://www.atsjournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1164/arrd.1963.88.3P2.387

Hayflick führte einen sechs Jahre andauernden Streit mit den nationalen Gesundheitsbehörden um die Rechte an der daraus entwickelten Zelllinie – und gewann. Seither dürfen amerikanische Forscher die Verwertungsrechte für ihre

Entdeckungen behalten, auch wenn deren Forschung durch nationale Mittel finanziert wurde. Ein Kommentar von Hayflick hierzu wurde 2012 in Science veröffentlicht.

WI-38 is a diploid human cell line composed of fibroblasts derived from lung tissue of a 3-month-gestation female fetus. The fetus came from the elective abortion of a Swedish woman in 1962, and was used without her knowledge or permission.

Hayflick argued against the use of monkey cells….



A COMPARISON OF PRIMARY MONKEY KIDNEY, HETEROPLOID CELL LINES, AND HUMAN DIPLOID CELL STRAINS FOR HUMAN VIRUS VACCINE PREPARATION[1,2]

LEONARD HAYFLICK

It has now been established that no less than 20 serologically distinct simian viruses can be recovered from monkey kidney tissue cultures (1, 2). It is also evident that one or more of these viruses may be present in all such cultures of monkey kidney. Only 2 of the most important of these 20 simian viruses will be considered at this time. The first, the B virus, has been found to cause fatalities in man when introduced by percutaneous inoculation (3). Although the detection of this contaminating virus in routine vaccine safety tests is relatively easy, the real risk lies with those who work with primary monkey kidney during vaccine manufacture. Indeed, it is within this group that a number of B virus fatalities have occurred.

The second simian virus that we shall consider briefly is the vacuolating agent or SV-40 (2). This latent virus, which becomes unmasked in tissue cultures of a majority of monkey kidneys, has been found to be oncogenic for the hamster (4, 5). Quite recently this virus has been demonstrated by Koprowski and associates (6) and by Enders and co-workers (7) to cause alterations of normal human cells in vitro to cells having attributes of cancer cells. As if this indictment of monkey kidney for use in human virus vaccine production were not sufficient, it is also now well recognized that SV-40 is capable of surviving the usual formalinization procedures necessary to prepare killed poliovirus vaccines (8).

It is clear then that another cell substrate must be found in which to prepare human virus vaccines, as the continued use of monkey kidney for this purpose is certainly made at a high risk. This risk might be justified if another cell system were not available in which human virus vaccines could be produced safely. However, such a system is available and has been demonstrated to overcome nearly all of the disadvantages of monkey kidney. Before considering this system we must examine a third in vitro system for human virus vaccine production. That is the utilization of heteroploid cell lines derived from primate tissue.

The use of heteroploid cell lines can be quickly rejected on the ground that these cell systems share many of the characteristics of neoplastic cells. The risk of using these heteroploid cell lines has been aptly put by Westwood and associates (9):

[1] From the Wistar Institute of Anatomy and Biology, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

[2] This work was supported (in part) by U. S. Public Health Service Contract No. TH43-62-137 and by Grant No. CA04924-04 from the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, U. S. Public Health Service.

"A Comparison of Primary Monkey Kidney, Heteroploid Cell Lines, and Human Diploid Cell Strains for Human Virus Vaccine Preparation1,2." *American Review of Respiratory Disease*, 88(3P2), pp. 387–393

Leonard Hayflick's warning about SV40 another viruses.

Simian vacuolating virus 40. SV40

Some of the polio vaccine administered from 1955–1963 was contaminated with a virus, called simian virus 40 (SV40) a macaque polyomavirus that can induce cancer in rodents.

An estimated 10-30% of polio vaccines administered in the US were contaminated with simian virus 40 (SV40).

~30 million Americans were exposed to SV40 via contaminated vaccines.

The virus codes a protein known as the T-antigen, which regulates viral replication and inactivates tumor suppressor genes (p53)



Rollison, D. E. M., and K. V. Shah. 2001. The epidemiology of SV40 infection due to contaminated polio vaccines: relation of the virus to human cancer, p. 561-584. *In* K. Khalili and G. L. Stoner (ed.), Human polyomaviruses: molecular and clinical perspectives. Wiley-Liss, Inc., New York, N.Y.

SV40: a stowaway passenger in the monkey kidneys…..that inadvertently was injected into ~30 million Americans.

Immortal Cells: HeLa

HPLV 18 transforms cervical cells, hyper aggressive cancer that killed patient Henrietta Lacks in Baltimore in 1951.





HeLa cells were critical for testing the polio vaccine as polio virus kills these cells



nuclei purple, microtubules blue, actin microfilaments red.



HeLa cells are rapidly dividing cancer cells, and the number of chromosomes varied during cancer formation and cell culture. The current estimate (excluding very tiny fragments) is a "hypertriploid chromosome number (3n+)" which means 76 to 80 total chromosomes (rather than the normal diploid number of 46) with 22–25 clonally abnormal chromosomes, known as "HeLa signature chromosomes."

Vaccines: Most Successful Intervention of Medicine

Inactivated: dead whole pathogen
Attenuated: live infectious pathogen manipulated to generate a non-pathogenic state.

Down sides:
not as good an antigen

potential reversal to pathogenic

Subunit vaccines: only part of the pathogen (surface glycoprotein) is used, non-infectious

not presenting diverse enough"face" of virus

Genetic vaccines: RNA or DNA encoding viral antigens In viral vector or lipid nanoparticle.

new, limited data on longterm risk

Viral vector vaccines: DNA from the virus is Inserted into the capsid of a Harmless virus as delivery vehicle.

little information on longterm risk, limited antigen



There are different ways of manufacturing vaccines.
Vaccines can have risks, but more than half a century of studies have shown that overall the benefits of mass-immunization far outweigh the risks to the individuals.

Growing virus to make vaccines:

Primary tissue culture

Primate Kidneys

Hens' eggs

Cell lines



Bioreactor (cell-free)

N-1 at 40 L
Xcellerex XDR-200

The substrate used for making vaccine contributes to certain risks of the vaccine, e.e. Influenza vaccine made in chicken eggs can cause reactions in people who have egg allergies. Animal or human cell lines each carry risks of disease transmission, plant cells are also used, latest technology uses cell-free reactors to synthesize viral RNA (e.g. Pfizer)



Hepatitis B subunit vaccine



Preparation of a genetically-engineered vaccine

First successful anticancer vaccine

Your Hepatitis B vaccine was tested for safety in chimpanzees!

Studies by Alfred Prince and his team at the Vilab in Liberia
have paved the way for a Hepatitis B vaccine.The vaccine is now produced in yeast cells.

Yellow Fever, a flavivirus





yellow fever is the only flavivirus that can be prevented with a very efficient vaccine.

Ebola, a filovirus vaccine rVSV-ZEBOV approved in 2019





A recently developed vaccine against ebola is a big hope for many. VSV-EBOV or rVSV-ZEBOV, sold under the brand name Ervebo, is a vaccine based on the vesicular stomatitis virus which was genetically modified to express a surface glycoprotein of Zaire Ebola virus

---

Ebola





My friend and colleague was patient zero for the Ebola Ivory Coast outbreak in 1994.
She infected herself while helping a veterinarian conduct an autopsy of a dead wild chimpanzee.

HIV/AIDS: a chimpanzee zoonosis



It is now clear that HIV/AIDS emerged as a zoonosis bin Central Africa around the turn of the the 1900s.



HIV infects T-lymphocytes in the blood stream, ultimately causing AIDS. Terese Winslow created this artwork to give scientists new insight into how HIV infects T-lymphocytes. The virion is shown in the first stage of infection, when the virion attaches to the surface of the T-cell. The molecules involved in this docking process are of particular interest to scientists, so she rendered them accurately according to the most up-to-date scientific information. These molecules include gp120 (the blue 'mushrooms' on the surface of the virus), CD4 (the long red molecules on the cell surface), and chemokine receptors (the groups of blue cylinders on the cell surface).

Again, no depiction of the many complex glycan molecules on both, the virus glycoprotein “mushrooms” or the host cell surface.

All other African primates have their own SIV



Humans only acquired HIV from African primates at the beginning of 1900s.

Most African non-human primates each have their own versions of HIV, named SIV (simian immunodeficiency virus, a misnomer, as most other African primate species do not get sick).

What caused the virus to jump?



More than a million years in other African primates. Jump likely aided by bush meat hunting/butchering. The bases for human susceptibility are still being studied.

Perfect Storms

Colonial brutality and mass medical campaigns

Large urban centers and mass migrations

Intercontinental Medical Aid

Blood Commerce

(Sex) Tourism and IV Drug use

Practice question:

Which factors helped spark the HIV/AIDS pandemic?

see above

Bush meat trade

Apes are still hunted for their meat throughout tropical Africa, even in the cities, bush meat is valued much more highly than farmed meat.

perfect opportunity fro cross-species infections.

Polio vaccine studies in the Belgian Congo used hundreds of wild caught chimpanzees and bonobos for testing the efficacy and safety of vaccine.

These studies could not have cause the HIV1 epidemic which was well underway by the late 1950s.



Alexandre Jezierski on a monkey-hunting expedition for the Gabu-Nioka laboratory, 1954. *(Credit: G. Scott)*

Chimp caught in a liana net by pygmies, at one of Rollais's base camps in the north of Province Oriental, 1958. *(Credit: G. Rollais)*

Two African assistants dismembering a dead chimp in the

Mass vaccination in Belgian Congo 1959

Agnes Flack vaccinating a "sea of Africans" with CHAT in the Ruzizi Valley, 1958. *(Credit: R. Phillips)*

Mass vaccination in Belgian Congo 1959: suspected by some as possible origin of HIV/ AIDS

BUT
clearly not the case
rather HIV was already circulating at the time



The Alternative hypotheses about HIV origins:

- 1.Natural Transfer: infection by killing and butchering of apes for meat, more hunting in modern times, larger cities and more travel.
- 2. Natural Transfer & syringes (aided by rural clinics with rampant reuse of unsterilized hypodermic needles).
- 3.Oral Polio Vaccine (OPV), vaccine prepared on chimpanzee tissue cultures? infected with SIV and fed to ~1 million Africans in 1957-1960.
- # 3 has been proven wrong, so likely a combination of 1 and 2.

Chimpanzee cells to Philadelphia

STUDIES OF LIVER FUNCTION TESTS IN CHIMPANZEES AFTER INOCULATION WITH HUMAN INFECTIOUS HEPATITIS VIRUS [1]

By

FRIEDRICH DEINHARDT,[2] GHISLAIN COURTOIS, PAULETTE DHERTE, PAUL OSTERRIETH, GASTON NINANE, GERTRUDE HENLE AND WERNER HENLE

(Received for publication December 13, 1961)

AM. J. HYG. 1962, VOL. 75: 311–321

Tissue-culture studies. Additional efforts were made to isolate IH virus in chimpanzee kidney-tissue cultures. For these experiments minced pieces of chimpanzee kidneys were sent by air from Stanleyville to the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. These were trypsinized within 24 hours after arrival. The total time between the removal of the kidneys and the preparation of tissue cultures varied from 3 to 6 days and good cultures were obtained from 5 out of 6 shipments. None of the 6 specimens revealed foamy agents or other latent viruses. Unfortunately, no evidence for the propagation of IH virus was obtained in any of the cultures inoculated with WB or no. 331 materials, and maintained for periods up to 3 weeks, or in second and third passages derived therefrom. Cultures were observed for cytopathology, development of interference to other cytopathogenic viruses, and staining with fluorescent antibodies (isothiocyanate-labeled human gamma globulin).

ATCC: American Type Culture Collection



The organization holds a collection of more than 3,000 human and animal cell lines and an additional 1,200 hybridomas.

ATCC or the American Type Culture Collection is a nonprofit organization which collects, stores, and distributes standard reference microorganisms, cell lines and other materials for research and development

The organization holds a collection of more than 3,000 human and animal cell lines and an additional 1,200 hybridomas. ATCC's microorganism collection includes a collection of more than 18,000 strains of bacteria, as well as 3,000 different types of animal viruses and 1,000 plant viruses. In addition, ATCC maintains collections of protozoans, yeasts and fungi with over 7,500 yeast and fungus species and 1,000 strains of protists.

The Frozen Zoo



San Diego Zoological Society

It contains over 10,000 living cell cultures, oocytes, sperm, and embryos representing nearly 1,000 taxa, including one extinct species, the po'ouli.

San Diego Zoo Wildlife Alliance

all primary cells, none of them transformed.

Global samples from humans: lymphoblastoid cell lines



2600 EBV transformed cell lines derived from white blood cells from around the world.

Genetic Structure of Human Populations

Noah A. Rosenberg,[1]* Jonathan K. Pritchard,[2] James L. Weber,[3] Howard M. Cann,[4] Kenneth K. Kidd,[5] Lev A. Zhivotovsky,[6] Marcus W. Feldman[7]

We studied human population structure using genotypes at 377 autosomal microsatellite loci in 1056 individuals from 52 populations. Within-population differences among individuals account for 93 to 95% of genetic variation; differences among major groups constitute only 3 to 5%. Nevertheless, without using prior information about the origins of individuals, we identified six main genetic clusters, five of which correspond to major geographic regions, and subclusters that often correspond to individual populations. General agreement of genetic and predefined populations suggests that self-reported ancestry can facilitate assessments of epidemiological risks but does not obviate the need to use genetic information in genetic association studies.



Science 2002

Kenn and Judy Kidd of Yale University have collected white blood cells from thousands of individuals from around the world.

Hybridomas fusing B-cell with bone marrow cells



B-cells from spleen of immunized animals fused with cancerous bone marrow cells (myeloma) generate immortal cells that produce monoclonal antibodies.

(1) Immunisation of a mouse (2) Isolation of B cells from the spleen (3) Cultivation of myeloma cells (4) Fusion of myeloma and B cells (5) Separation of cell lines (6) Screening of suitable cell lines (7) in vitro (a) or in vivo (b) multiplication (8) Harvesting

---

Monoclonal antobodies: market value > 100 billion



Humira (adalumimab) **AbbVie** anti-TNF, Crohns, RA, Psoriasis
Keytruda **Merck**: anti PD1 on T-cells, cancers
Dupixent (dupilimab) **Sanofi**: anti-IL4 receptor alpha, allergies, autoimmunity
Stelara (ustekinumab), **Jansen**: IL12 & IL23 Crohns, Ulcer Col, Psoriasis
Opdivo (nivolumab), **Bristol Myers Squib**: anti-PD1, cancer
Avastin (bevacizumab), **Roche**: anti-VEGF A, cancers, AMD
Ocrevus (ocrelizumab), **Roche**: anti-CD20, MS
Rituxan (rituximab) **Roche**: antri-CD20, MS
Darzalex (daratumumab), **Johnson & Johnson**: anti-CD38, myeloma
Perjeta (pertuzumab), **Roche**, anti-HER2 breast cancer

Embryonic Stem Cells



over 620 000 frozen human embryos in the USA.

Tapping the “Germ Line”?
The inner cell mass day

iPS, induced pluripotent stem cells



ATCC or the American Type Culture Collection is a nonprofit organization which collects, stores, and distributes standard reference microorganisms, cell lines and other materials for research and development

The organization holds a collection of more than 3,000 human and animal cell lines and an additional 1,200 hybridomas. ATCC's microorganism collection includes a collection of more than 18,000 strains of bacteria, as well as 3,000 different types of animal viruses and 1,000 plant viruses. In addition, ATCC maintains collections of protozoans, yeasts and fungi with over 7,500 yeast and fungus species and 1,000 strains of protists.

Retrotransposons are a class of Mobile Elements or “Jumping Genes”



Barbara McClintock
Nobel Prize, 1983

Creating genetic mosaics

LINE1: Long Interspersed Nuclear Element - Only autonomous mobile elements that are active in humans (comprises 20% of the genome, coding regions are approx. 2%)

McClintock, B. Chromosome organization and genic expression. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* ***16, 13–47 (1951).***

Retrotransposons are endogenous mobile elements or fragments of DNA that can copy themselves and insert into new chromosomal locations. That is the reason why transposons are also referred to as “jumping genes”. Transposons have been discovered more then 50 years ago in maize by Barbara McClintock that won the Nobel prize for that discovery.
SHE COULD NOT EXPLAIN THE INHERITANCE OF MAIZE KERNEL COLORS BY MENDELIAN LAWS!

Consequences of Mosaicism after LINE1 Mobility: Mutations, Diversity and Disease

LINE1 (L1) mobility influence chromosome integrity and gene expression upon reinsertion causing genetic diversity that can generate changes in behavior and and potentially diseases.



Germline insertions can cause structural variants, deletions and sequence insertions within the human population



Disease:

First Evidence:
Hemophilia A resulting from de novo insertion of LINE1 sequences. Kazazian *et al* ***Nature***, 1988.

To date, over 120 human diseases are associated with LINE1 events.

Linker, Gage and Bedrosian, *the Scientist* 2017

Detecting recent (and relevant) events of LINE1 mobility in humans, prompted the field to look for when during development these insertions were happening and for many years it was thought that the insertions were only happening in the germline.

However, work from us and others have shown that new Line1 insertions happen during embryonic development and adulthood. Hence the idea that we are all walking mosaics.
WE ARE ALL "GENETIC MOSAICS" BUT ONLY TO LIMITD DEGRE AND ESPECILLY IN BRAIN AND TESTES…
JUMPING GENES ARE A VERY DANGEROUS LIABILITY TO GENOMIC INTEGRITY ND SUCCESSFUL MULTICELLULARITY

In the following slides I will show you examples of studies lead by me and others that used reprograming technology to study retrotransposon mobility and we will also speculate on the implications of LINE1 mobility for disease and human evolution.

Germline retrotransposons are a major source of structural variants, deletions and sequence insertions within the human population[11–15]. The vast majority of these germline variants have unknown functional effects. However, some variants are likely to have functional consequences for the individual. For example, although polymorphic insertions of retrotransposon sequences are abundant in the healthy human population, specific *de novo* retrotransposon insertions can cause haemophilia[16], neurofibromatosis[17] and other diseases. In addition to the insertion of the retrotransposon sequence, retrotransposition can mediate the deletion of the host DNA sequence[18]. Furthermore, retrotransposon events can result in the presence of highly homologous sequences in different genomic locations. These sequences can then recombine, through nonallelic homologous recombination, to cause deletions, duplications, inversions

---

LINE1 mobility during human development



How LINE1 mobility isn studied during development

1-Choose a cell line that represents human development



2-Choose a system that allows for monitoring LINE1 mobility in real time

Genetically Engineered LINE1 Element: Monitor mobility in real time



Moran et al Cell, 1996

In the following slides I will show you examples of studies lead by me and others that used reprograming technology to study retrotransposon mobility and I will speculate on the implications of LINE1 mobility for disease and human evolution.

I WOULD STRESS: MOSAICISM MEANS THAT TWO NEIGHBROING NEURONS ARE NOT TOTALLY GENETICALLY IDENTICAL ANY MORE.

Putative implications of L1-mediated somatic mosaicism in the brain In a reversal of the commonly held belief that retrotransposition occurs primarily in the germline [83], it became clear that L1 elements are expressed in many somatic tissues, including the brain [7, 13, 84]. Recent evidence shows that L1 retrotransposition (curved red arrows) does not occur in the parental germline but in the soma during early embryonic development (colored dots), resulting in individuals that are genetically mosaic with respect to L1 composition [33]. It has been suggested, however, that L1 RNA may be transcribed in the parental germline and carried over in both male and female germ cells in the form of RNPs (black line with red dots) and integrated into the genome at the preimplantation stage [33] (colored spots); however, these events are probably rare, since retrotransposons are effectively silenced in the germline through a small RNA induced mechanism [78, 85]. Somatic L1 retrotranspositon events that occur during embryogenesis would result in clonal sectors of cells (colored patches) that carry the same insertion event. The size of clonal sectors depends on the developmental stage when the insertion occurred and the number of subsequent cell divisions. L1 insertion events that happen during embryonic brain development will be found in different brain regions (colored patches and dots), whereas events that happen during adult neurogenesis will be restricted to specific areas, such as the dentate gyrus (insert). According to our hypothesis, L1-induced mosaicism could increase variability in the brain (blue curve), which could have implications for behavioral phenotypes. The environment could influence regulation of somatic L1 retrotransposition in the brain and this influence could be mediated by epigenetic or hormonal mechanisms. Depending on its impact on the brain and the consequences, L1-induced somatic variability could either increase the risk for neurological disease or induce behavioral changes that could help the organism to better adapt to changing environments.



Putative implications of L1-mediated somatic mosaicism in the brain In a reversal of the commonly held belief that retrotransposition occurs primarily in the germline [83], it became clear that L1 elements are expressed in many somatic tissues, including the brain [7, 13, 84]. Recent evidence shows that L1 retrotransposition (curved red arrows) does not occur in the parental germline but in the soma during early embryonic development (colored dots), resulting in individuals that are genetically mosaic with respect to L1 composition [33]. It has been suggested, however, that L1 RNA may be transcribed in the parental germline and carried over in both male and female germ cells in the form of RNPs (black line with red dots) and integrated into the genome at the preimplantation stage [33] (colored spots); however, these events are probably rare, since retrotransposons are effectively silenced in the germline through a small RNA induced mechanism [78, 85]. Somatic L1 retrotranspositon events that occur during embryogenesis would result in clonal sectors of cells (colored patches) that carry the same insertion event. The size of clonal sectors depends on the developmental stage when the insertion occurred and the number of subsequent cell divisions. L1 insertion events that happen during embryonic brain development will be found in different brain regions (colored patches and dots), whereas events that happen during adult neurogenesis will be restricted to specific areas, such as the dentate gyrus (insert). According to our hypothesis, L1-induced mosaicism could increase variability in the brain (blue curve), which could have implications for behavioral phenotypes. The environment could influence regulation of somatic L1 retrotransposition in the brain and this influence could be mediated by epigenetic or hormonal mechanisms. Depending on its impact on the brain and the consequences, L1-induced somatic variability could either increase the risk for neurological disease or induce behavioral changes that could help the organism to better adapt to changing environments.
COULD THE BRAIN BENEFIT FROM INCREASED SOMATIC DIVERSITY CREATED BY JUMPING GENES?



Putative implications of L1-mediated somatic mosaicism in the brain In a reversal of the commonly held belief that retrotransposition occurs primarily in the germline [83], it became clear that L1 elements are expressed in many somatic tissues, including the brain [7, 13, 84]. Recent evidence shows that L1 retrotransposition (curved red arrows) does not occur in the parental germline but in the soma during early embryonic development (colored dots), resulting in individuals that are genetically mosaic with respect to L1 composition [33]. It has been suggested, however, that L1 RNA may be transcribed in the parental germline and carried over in both male and female germ cells in the form of RNPs (black line with red dots) and integrated into the genome at the preimplantation stage [33] (colored spots); however, these events are probably rare, since retrotransposons are effectively silenced in the germline through a small RNA induced mechanism [78, 85]. Somatic L1 retrotranspositon events that occur during embryogenesis would result in clonal sectors of cells (colored patches) that carry the same insertion event. The size of clonal sectors depends on the developmental stage when the insertion occurred and the number of subsequent cell divisions. L1 insertion events that happen during embryonic brain development will be found in different brain regions (colored patches and dots), whereas events that happen during adult neurogenesis will be restricted to specific areas, such as the dentate gyrus (insert). According to our hypothesis, L1-induced mosaicism could increase variability in the brain (blue curve), which could have implications for behavioral phenotypes. The environment could influence regulation of somatic L1 retrotransposition in the brain and this influence could be mediated by epigenetic or hormonal mechanisms. Depending on its impact on the brain and the consequences, L1-induced somatic variability could either increase the risk for neurological disease or induce behavioral changes that could help the organism to better adapt to changing environments.

RETT'S DEMONSTRATED THE HUGE DANGER OF UNCRONTROLLED, EXCESSIVE JUMPING



Putative implications of L1-mediated somatic mosaicism in the brain In a reversal of the commonly held belief that retrotransposition occurs primarily in the germline [83], it became clear that L1 elements are expressed in many somatic tissues, including the brain [7, 13, 84]. Recent evidence shows that L1 retrotransposition (curved red arrows) does not occur in the parental germline but in the soma during early embryonic development (colored dots), resulting in individuals that are genetically mosaic with respect to L1 composition [33]. It has been suggested, however, that L1 RNA may be transcribed in the parental germline and carried over in both male and female germ cells in the form of RNPs (black line with red dots) and integrated into the genome at the preimplantation stage [33] (colored spots); however, these events are probably rare, since retrotransposons are effectively silenced in the germline through a small RNA induced mechanism [78, 85]. Somatic L1 retrotranspositon events that occur during embryogenesis would result in clonal sectors of cells (colored patches) that carry the same insertion event. The size of clonal sectors depends on the developmental stage when the insertion occurred and the number of subsequent cell divisions. L1 insertion events that happen during embryonic brain development will be found in different brain regions (colored patches and dots), whereas events that happen during adult neurogenesis will be restricted to specific areas, such as the dentate gyrus (insert). According to our hypothesis, L1-induced mosaicism could increase variability in the brain (blue curve), which could have implications for behavioral phenotypes. The environment could influence regulation of somatic L1 retrotransposition in the brain and this influence could be mediated by epigenetic or hormonal mechanisms. Depending on its impact on the brain and the consequences, L1-induced somatic variability could either increase the risk for neurological disease or induce behavioral changes that could help the organism to better adapt to changing environments.

Impact of LINE1 mobility in evolution?



Singer, McConnel, Marchetto, Coufal, Gage et al Trends Neurosci, 2010

Marchetto et al Nature, 2013

Putative implications of L1-mediated somatic mosaicism in the brain In a reversal of the commonly held belief that retrotransposition occurs primarily in the germline [83], it became clear that L1 elements are expressed in many somatic tissues, including the brain [7, 13, 84]. Recent evidence shows that L1 retrotransposition (curved red arrows) does not occur in the parental germline but in the soma during early embryonic development (colored dots), resulting in individuals that are genetically mosaic with respect to L1 composition [33]. It has been suggested, however, that L1 RNA may be transcribed in the parental germline and carried over in both male and female germ cells in the form of RNPs (black line with red dots) and integrated into the genome at the preimplantation stage [33] (colored spots); however, these events are probably rare, since retrotransposons are effectively silenced in the germline through a small RNA induced mechanism [78, 85]. Somatic L1 retrotranspositon events that occur during embryogenesis would result in clonal sectors of cells (colored patches) that carry the same insertion event. The size of clonal sectors depends on the developmental stage when the insertion occurred and the number of subsequent cell divisions. L1 insertion events that happen during embryonic brain development will be found in different brain regions (colored patches and dots), whereas events that happen during adult neurogenesis will be restricted to specific areas, such as the dentate gyrus (insert). According to our hypothesis, L1-induced mosaicism could increase variability in the brain (blue curve), which could have implications for behavioral phenotypes. The environment could influence regulation of somatic L1 retrotransposition in the brain and this influence could be mediated by epigenetic or hormonal mechanisms. Depending on its impact on the brain and the consequences, L1-induced somatic variability could either increase the risk for neurological disease or induce behavioral changes that could help the organism to better adapt to changing environments.

LINE1 ACTVITY (THEIR JUMPING) IS REPRESSED MOREN HUMANS THAN IN APES, IAM SURE THAT APES HAVE SOME LEVE OF REPRESSION AS WELL, OR THEIR GENOMES WOULD MELT….

E pluribus unum

measuring single cell gene-expression to characterize discrete cell types in the human body.



Karlsson *et al.* A single–cell type transcriptomics map of human tissues. 2021, ***Science***

E pluribus unum



Karlsson *et al.* A single–cell type transcriptomics map of human tissues. 2021, ***Science***


Humans with unique
imagination?


Hominid
evolution
7.0 mya
6.0 mya
5.0
4.0
3.0
2.0
1.0
0.0
H. neanderthalensis
H. habilis
H. heidelbergensis
K. platyops
H. ergaster
H. sapiens
Au. sediba
H. floresiensis
Au. anamensis
Au. afarensis
Au. garhi
S. tchadensis
Ar. kadabba
Ar. ramidus
P. robustus
Au. africanus
P. aethiopius
P. boisei
O. tugenensis
Representative genera
Sahelanthropus
Orrorin
Ardipithecus
Australopithecus/Paranthropus
Kenyanthropus
Homo
Chimpanzee-human last common ancestor


Hominid
evolution
7.0 mya
6.0 mya
5.0
4.0
3.0
2.0
1.0
0.0
H. naledi
H. neanderthalensis
H. habilis
H. heidelbergensis
K. platyops
H. ergaster
H. sapiens
Au. sediba
H. erectus
Au. anamensis
Au. afarensis
Au. garhi
H. floresiensis
H. luzonensis
S. tchadensis
Ar. kadabba
Ar. ramidus
P. robustus
Au. africanus
P. aethiopicus
P. boisei
O. tugenensis
Representative genera
Sahelanthropus
Orrorin
Ardipithecus
Australopithecus/Paranthropus
Kenyanthropus
Homo
Chimpanzee-human last common ancestor

Arts, Technology and Adaptation





Neanderthal and Modern Human

There brains might have had different ways of processing information.



Fossil records used for genome sequencing

Where does cognition begin?



Brain models in a dish?



*Immature neurons
*Not vascularized
*Not all cell types
*Not ideal culture
*Translational?
*Etc…

Can this technology be disruptive?

Muotri lab brain organoid recipe







Radial and tangential pattern



DAPI stains cell nuclei.

Staining for SOX2 in green: SOX2, is a transcription factor that is essential for maintaining self-renewal, or pluripotency, of undifferentiated embryonic stem cells. Sox2 has a critical role in maintenance of embryonic and neural stem cells.

MECP2 (methyl CpG binding protein 2) is a gene that encodes the protein MECP2. MECP2 appears to be essential for the normal function of nerve cells.

They fold


DAPI / NeuN / Ki67 / Syn1


DAPI / NeuN / Ki67 / Syn1

NeuN also non as Fox 3 is a neuronal nuclear antigen that is commonly used as a biomarker for neurons.
Antigen KI-67 is a nuclear protein that is associated with cellular proliferation.
Syn1 (Syngap1) is aprotein that is critical for the development of cognition and proper synapse function. Mutations in humans can cause intellectual disability, epilepsy, autism and sensory processing deficits.

Brain organoid at 4 months





Single-cell transcriptome analysis
t-SNE projection of cells colored by k-means clustering

Population analyses



MAP2, microtubule also cited protein 2. This gene encodes a protein that belongs to the microtubule-associated protein family.

CTIP2 transcription factor expressed by subconical projecting neurons

---



ZIKV^{BR} affects cortical layers in organoids



reconstruction of Neanderthal burial at La Chapelle aux Saints, Southern France (~50 000 year old)

Reconstruction of a Neanderthal by Kennis brothers admired by modern human in London's Natural History museum.s

Catalog of human-specific variant

DNA differences with effects on protein sequence

DDX53	SLC8A1	IRAK1BP1	GLDC	GPT	STARD9	KIF26B
CXorf59	NOTO	MCHR2	FRRS1L	DCHS1	SLC12A1	GRB1L
GAP43	ANKMY1	ZBTB24	NEK6	KIF18A	KIAA1199	LMNB2
FRMD7	SCAP	KATNA1	TTF1	PLAC1L	CDH16	RASA1
ZNF185	ORSK4	LRRD1	FBXW5	ZNHIT2	PIE201	MFSD12
TKTL1	NOP14	KLF14	FAM166A	PRDM10	SPAG5	NCOA6
IFI44L	EVC2	CALD1	ARRDC1	LRTM2	SSH2	LYPLA1
VCAM1	HERC5	ERI1	ANKRD30A	LAG3	SYNRG	TP53TG5
SPAG17	DHX29	CSGALNACT1	FAM149B1	SCAF11	CD300LG	C21orf62
SLC27A3	PTCD2	GSR	FAM178A	SLITRK1	TEX2	UBQLN3
SPTA1	SV2C	ADAM18	CASC5	NOVA1	ITGB4	RSPH1
NFASC	VCAN	RB1CC1	PNLIP	TTLL5	RFNG	ENTHD1
GPR132	DLGAP2	ADSL				

3 key genes highly expressed in neurodevelopment and mental disorders



Re-constructing the Neanderthal brain



Altered gene expression





166 differential splicing rates



Distinct Neurodevelopment



Distinct Neurodevelopment



Surface dynamics





Differential neuronal migration





Cortical formation







Organoid single-cell level activity (Voltage-dependent dye)







Organoid network activity (MEA)



Reduced network neuronal connectivity



Differences in synaptogenesis



A look at the synapses



Long-term collaborators

Laboratory for Human Comparative Neuroanatomy



YEO LAB

VOYTEKlab

UCSC Paleogenomics Lab
molecular evolution from a paleo perspective

Altered synaptic protein interactions using multiplex quantitative immunoprecipitation





Altered synaptic protein interactions using multiplex quantitative immunoprecipitation



A potential figure: Lines connecting nodes indicate a high-confidence difference between NEA and HU. The color of the line indicates up (red) or down (blue) compared to HU. The thickness and color intensity of the line indicates the magnitude of the change.

Note that the up-regulated hits in NEA all involve Homer-Shank (which scaffold mGluRs),or mGluR with PSD95 and GluR1. The down-regulated NMDARs with SynGAP and Fyn suggest some type of signaling difference downstream of NMDARs.

with Stephen Smith

Neural Oscillations

New York Times, April 16th



SCIENCE

You Share Everything With Your Bestie. Even Brain Waves.

Basics

By NATALIE ANGIER APRIL 16, 2018

A friend will help you move, goes an old saying, while a good friend will help you move a body. And why not? Moral qualms aside, that good friend would likely agree the victim was an intolerable jerk who had it coming and, jeez, you shouldn't have done this but where do you keep the shovel?

Researchers have long known that people choose friends who are much like themselves in a wide array of characteristics: of a similar age, race, religion, socioeconomic status, educational level, political leaning, pulchritude rating, even handgrip strength. The impulse toward homophily, toward bonding with others who are the least other possible, is found

RELATED COVERAGE

Friendship's Dark Side: 'We Need a Common Enemy' APRIL 16, 2018

Basics

A collection of "Basics" columns published in The New York Times.

Many Animals Can Count, Some Better Than You FEB 5

Precious Gems Bear Messages From Earth's Molten Heart DEC 11

Birds Beware: The Praying Mantis Wants Your Brain SEP 22

A Baby Wails, and the Adult World Comes Running SEP 4

Further maturation of the networks with input/output?

Organoid-machine interface



Organoid-machine interface



Creating a visual cortex in vitro



Re-constructing the futuristic brain

NASA twins mission

Long-term collaborators

YEO LAB

VOYTEKlab

Laboratory for Human Comparative Neuroanatomy

UCSC Paleogenomics Lab
molecular evolution from a paleo perspective

Take Home **Messages**

- Spontaneous development of neural networks in organoids resembles human brain biological program trajectory.
- Possible to reconstruct evolutionary steps to study human brain/mind evolution in the lab.
- A platform for organoid-born learning paradigm using organoid-machine interfaces.

Long-term MEA network activity of **organoids**




Long-term MEA network activity of organoids
Mouse brain
2D human/mouse neurons
Mean firing rate (Hz)
25
20
15
10
5
0
Cesca et al. 2016
Clements et al. 2016
Harril et al.2015
Ueaka et al. 2007
McSweenew et al 2016
Brown et al. 2016
Wallace et al 2014
Yang et al 2016


Long-term MEA network activity of organoids
Mean firing rate (Hz)
25
20
15
10
5
0
Cesca et al. 2016
Clements et al. 2016
Harril et al.2015
Ueaka et al. 2007
McSweenew et al 2016
Brown et al. 2016
Wallace et al 2014
Quadrato et al 2017
Quadrato et al 2017
8
12
16
20
24
28
32
36
40
Time (weeks)


Long-term MEA network activity of organoids
Mean firing rate (Hz)
25
20
15
10
5
0
Cesca et al. 2016
Clements et al. 2016
Harril et al.2015
Ueaka et al. 2007
McSweenew et al 2016
Brown et al. 2016
Wallace et al 2014
Quadrato et al 2017
Quadrato et al 2017
8
12
16
20
24
28
32
36
40
Time (weeks)


Long-term MEA network activity of organoids
Mean firing rate (Hz)
Time (weeks)
Cesca et al. 2016
Clements et al. 2016
Harril et al.2015
Ueaska et al. 2007
McSweenow et al 2016
Brown et al. 2016
Wallace et al 2011
Quadrato et al 2017
Quadrato et al 2017


Long-term activity
Number of spikes
Burst frequency (Hz)
Inter-burst interval (s)
Network burst frequency (Hz)
Time (months)


Excitatory and inhibitory neurons
Number of bursts / 3 min
Synchrony index
Untreated
APS+CNQX
Bicuculline
Baclofen
Muscimol
TTX
Washout


Neural
Oscillations


Neural
Oscillations
New York Times, April 16th
SCIENCE
You Share Everything With Your Bestie. Even Brain Waves.
Basics
By NATALIE ANGIER APRIL 16, 2018
A friend will help you move, goes an old saying, while a good friend will help you move a body. And why not? Moral qualms aside, that good friend would likely agree the victim was an intolerable jerk who had it coming and, jeez, you shouldn't have done this but where do you keep the shovel?
Researchers have long known that people choose friends who are much like themselves in a wide array of characteristics: of a similar age, race, religion, socioeconomic status, educational level, political leaning, pulchritude rating, even handgrip strength. The impulse toward homophily, toward bonding with others
RELATED COVERAGE
Friendship's Dark Side: 'We Need a Common Enemy' APRIL 16, 2018
Basics
A collection of "Basics" columns published in The New York Times.
Many Animals Can Count, Some Better Than You FEB 5
Precious Gems Bear Messages From Earth's Molten Heart DEC 11
Birds Beware: The Praying Mantis Wants Your Brain SEP 22
A Baby Wails, and the Adult World Comes Running SEP 4


Neural
Oscillations
Highpass Filter
(300-3000 Hz)
Spike Extraction
Spike Raster
Channel
Population Spiking
Spikes
Lowpass Filter
(<1000 Hz)
Local Field Potential (LFP)
Voltage
Time (s)

Neural oscillations



Neural Oscillations



Increase oscillatory complexity throughout development





Spontaneous activity transients